

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,280	12/29/2000	D. Scott Wilbur	33700WC005	6495
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/750,280	WILBUR ET AL.			
, arisony riodon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lauren Q Wells	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av- final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE:		·			
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,9-17 and 24-31.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18-23.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		Madraslam			
		EENI PADMANABHAN VISORY PATENT EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 35 USC 112 rejection over claims 88 and 89 in reference to the term "biomolecule".

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 35 USC 101, 112, 102, and 103 rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 9/9/03; b) Since the after final amendment is not being entered, the arguments against the 35 USC 101, Double Patenting rejection, are not persuasive; Applicant argues that the present Application has priority to PCT/SE98/01345, filed 7/7/98. However, Applicant has not provided a priority document for SE99/0124, filed 7/7/99, the intervening priority document. Thus, the instant Application is not afforded an effective filing date of 7/7/98, but is afforded the actual filing date of 12/29/00; Applicant's arguments against the prior art rejections are based on the after final amendment to the claims that is not being entered. Thus, these arguments are moot.